

GREATER MANCHESTER JOINT MINERALS DEVELOPMENT PLAN DOCUMENT

Schedule 2: Minor Modifications

1. Introduction

1.1 This document has been produced to include any minor modifications which have arisen following publication of the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan Document (the Minerals Plan).

1.2 These modifications are being suggested to update the Minerals Plan prior to adoption to reflect the results of the public examination, factual amendments and errata.

2. Proposed changes

2.1 The following table lists all the additional minor modifications to the main body of the Submission version of the Minerals Plan.

Change ID number	Policy/Para number	Reason for change	Suggested change
PMC/AGMA/1	Back Cover – Logos	Old Trafford Logo is shown on back cover	Replace for new Trafford logo
PMC/AGMA/2	Map 9 – Environmental Designations within Greater Manchester	Minor amendment submitted by Minerals and Waste Planning Unit	Amend Key to read: Sourced Protection Area <i>Source Protection Zone</i>
PMC/AGMA/3	Maps 1-10	The Peak District National Park does not form part of the Greater Manchester Minerals DPD area.	The maps and diagrams within the DPD should all show the part of Oldham within the National Park as being 'greyed out'.
PMC/AGMA/4	Para 4.15	The rail-linked Depot in Stockport is missing from Map 2a and should also be included in para 4.15	Fourth sentence of paragraph 4.15 will be amended to read: 'Greater Manchester currently has 3 4 rail linked depots, two in Salford, and one in East Manchester <i>and one in Stockport.</i> '
PMC/AGMA/5	Map 2a	The rail-linked Depot in Stockport is missing from Map 2a and should also be included in para 4.15	Map 2a will be amended to include the rail linked depot in Stockport.
PMC/AGMA/6	Para 4.35	To provide clarity regarding the call for sites exercise undertaken as part of the evidence base for the Minerals Plan.	Third sentence of paragraph 4.35 will be amended to read: 'National Minerals Planning Policy indicates because no <i>suitable</i> specific site allocations...'

Change ID number	Policy/Para number	Reason for change	Suggested change
PMC/AGMA/7	Para 4.50	To emphasise the importance of heritage assets in planning for minerals in Greater Manchester.	Amend second sentence of Para 4.50 to read: 'Although minerals can only be worked where they are found and this may coincide with designated areas, the Minerals Plan will seek to protect areas of landscape, <i>heritage</i> and nature conservation value'
PMC/AGMA/8	Para 5.10	To shift the emphasis from quality of minerals to meeting a recognised demand in Greater Manchester	Third sentence of para 5.10 to read: '...as quarries become depleted over the plan period there may <i>will</i> be a requirement to replace low-quality aggregate resources to meet the demands of local, low-specification construction markets'.
PMC/AGMA/9	Para 5.11	To provide clarity regarding the call for sites exercise undertaken as part of the evidence base for the Minerals Plan.	Second sentence of para 5.11 will read: "Despite four 'call for sites' exercises, no <i>suitable</i> Specific Sites or Preferred Areas...".
PMC/AGMA/10	Para 5.19	To provide clarity regarding the call for sites exercise undertaken as part of the evidence base for the Minerals Plan.	Second sentence of para 5.19 will read: 'In addition, as no <i>suitable</i> specific sites have been brought forward in the Minerals Plan...'
PMC/AGMA/11	Para 5.22	To aid understanding of the role of the Environment Agency	First sentence of paragraph 5.22 will read: 'Any mineral development that would adversely affect a significant water resource or its dependents should only be allowed to proceed if the adverse impact is either agreeable to the MPA and the Environment Agency as a <i>statutory consultee</i> .'

Change ID number	Policy/Para number	Reason for change	Suggested change
PMC/AGMA/12	Paragraph 6.17	To improve clarity of the Minerals Plan	First sentence of paragraph 6.17 will read: 'Where an applicant is clear that prior <i>extraction</i> of mineral...'
PMC/AGMA/13	Paragraph 7.12	To improve clarity of the Minerals Plan	First sentence of paragraph 7.12 will read: "The spatial strategy <i>priorities</i> prioritises places....".
PMC/AGMA/14	Paragraph 7.19	To improve clarity of the Minerals Plan	An additional sentence will be added to the end of paragraph 7.19: <i>'This includes safeguarding of access to quarries where development could hinder the future viability of the site and supply of minerals to Greater Manchester.'</i>
PMC/AGMA/15	Objective 1	To improve clarity of Objective 1, to make it explicit that the landscape is a consideration.	Objective 1 will read: 'To protect local communities and the natural and built environment <i>including landscape</i> from the impacts of minerals development...'
PMC/AGMA/16	Policy 1	To improve the clarity of Policy 1	All proposals for minerals working or the provision of minerals infrastructure will be permitted where any <i>unacceptable impact adverse impact</i> on the following criteria is avoided or can be <i>appropriately mitigated: by planning conditions and/or obligations</i>
PMC/AGMA/17	Chapter 1: Foreword	To update DPD for Adoption	Remove 'Foreword'

Change ID number	Policy/Para number	Reason for change	Suggested change
PMC/AGMA/18	Chapter 2: How to respond to this document	To update DPD for Adoption	Remove 'How to respond to this document'
PMC/AGMA/19	Chapter 8 Monitoring and Implementation Table 12 Policy 8: Sustainable Transport of Minerals Indicator	To clarify intention of DPD	Reword indicator as follows: '% of mineral development permitted utilising <i>most</i> sustainable transport modes in compliance with the policies of the Minerals Plan.'
PMC/AGMA/20	Maps 4 to 8	Minor amendment submitted by Minerals and Waste Planning Unit	Change Key to read " <i>Mineral</i> Safeguarding Area"
PMC/AGMA/21	Maps 11 to 20	Minor amendment submitted by Minerals and Waste Planning Unit	Change Map title and Key to read " <i>Mineral</i> Safeguarding Area".
PMC/AGMA/22	Para 4.22	Minor amendment submitted by Minerals and Waste Planning Unit	Remove the word regional from North West Regional Aggregate Working Party to read " North West Regional Aggregate Working Party (RAWP)".
PMC/AGMA/23	Para 4.27	To provide clarity regarding the status of the Building Stone Pits (EBSPits) national database	Change last sentence to read. "Although there is limited extraction of this material, the Minerals Plan recognises the importance of protecting sources of building stone for the maintenance and repair of historic buildings, where such quarries are identified as identified by English Building Stone Pits (EBSPits) national database. when this is made available later in 2014 ".

Change ID number	Policy/Para number	Reason for change	Suggested change
PMC/AGMA/24	Para 4.28	Minor amendment submitted by Minerals and Waste Planning Unit	Amend 4 th sentence to read “Both sites have in the past relied on imported clay, some of it from sites as well as clay supplied from sites in Greater Manchester including Pilsworth South Quarry in Bury, which was used at the Cheadle works, and Harwood Quarry in Bolton which is still used to supply the Denton Works”.
PMC/AGMA/25	Para 5.35	Minor amendment submitted by Minerals and Waste Planning Unit	Last sentence amend to read “ All applications for such”
PMC/AGMA/26	Para 8.2	Minor amendment submitted by Minerals and Waste Planning Unit	Last sentence amend to “ These tables contain targets which are linked to the relevant strategic objectives from Chapter 4 ‘Aim and Objectives’”.
PMC/AGMA/27	Para 8.4	Minor amendment submitted by Minerals and Waste Planning Unit	Amend as follows “ At present the Regional Aggregate Working Party (R AWP) breaks down the North West regional
PMC/AGMA/28	Table 3 Indicator M1	Minor amendment submitted by Minerals and Waste Planning Unit	Amend as below. “ As set out in R AWP apportionments
PMC/AGMA/29	Appendix 1 Para 1.1	Minor amendment submitted by Minerals and Waste Planning Unit	Amend first sentence as below. “This Annex is split in to three parts and is intended to provide additional information in support of Chapter 5 ‘Policies’.”

Change ID number	Policy/Para number	Reason for change	Suggested change
PMC/AGMA/30	Map 3 – Coal Resources and Petroleum Exploration Development Licences (PEDL) in Greater Manchester.	Minor amendment submitted by Minerals and Waste Planning Unit	Include note in key to state the following. <p>“Information on PEDLs is up to date at time of Publication. For up to date PEDL information please refer to the Department of Energy and Climate Change (DECC).”</p>
PMC/AGMA/31	Glossary	Minor amendment submitted by Minerals and Waste Planning Unit	Amend columns 1&2 as below. <p>“RAWP”. “Regional Aggregate Working party”</p>
PMC/AGMA/32	Glossary	Minor amendment submitted by Minerals and Waste Planning Unit	Additional Acronym <p>“DECC”. “Department of Energy and Climate Change”. “Government Department with national responsibility for energy and climate change.”</p>
PMC/AGMA/33	Map 1	Minor Amendment submitted by Salford City Council	Include caveat in relation to existing mineral extraction site at Chat Moss: <p><i>Planning permission at this site is the subject of a current appeal process; the outcome will dictate the identification of this site within the adopted DPD.</i></p>
PMC/AGMA/34	Appendix 3: Replacement of existing Mineral Policies Table 37 Oldham Replacement UDP- replacement of saved policies Policy number NR4	To reflect Adoption of Oldham Core Strategy	Final column to read: <p>Replaced with <i>Oldham Joint DPD Policy 8 and Mineral Plan Policies 1, 2 and 4</i></p>

Change ID number	Policy/Para number	Reason for change	Suggested change
PMC/AGMA/35	<p>Appendix 3: Replacement of existing Mineral Policies Table 37 Oldham Replacement UDP- replacement of saved policies</p> <p>Policy number NR4.1</p>	To reflect Adoption of Oldham Core Strategy	<p>Final column to read:</p> <p>Replaced with <i>Oldham Joint DPD Policy 8</i> and Mineral Plan Policies 7, 10 and 11.</p>
PMC/AGMA/36	<p>Appendix 3: Replacement of existing Mineral Policies Table 37 Oldham Replacement UDP- replacement of saved policies</p> <p>Policy number NR4.2</p>	To reflect Adoption of Oldham Core Strategy	<p>Final column to read:</p> <p>Replaced with <i>Oldham Joint DPD Policy 8</i> and Mineral Plan Policies 1 and 2; and Waste Plan Policies 4 and 5</p>
PMC/AGMA/37	<p>Appendix 3: Replacement of existing Mineral Policies Table 37 Oldham Replacement UDP- replacement of saved policies</p> <p>Policy number NR4.3</p>	To reflect Adoption of Oldham Core Strategy	<p>Final column to read:</p> <p>Replaced with <i>Oldham Joint DPD Policy 8</i> and generic Development Management Policies within the LDF and Mineral Plan Policies 1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11 and 12</p>
PMC/AGMA/38	Para 5.25	To reflect updated position following receipt of the English Heritage BGS Strategic Stone Study	<p>Re write as below</p> <p>To determine the need for safeguarding building and roofing stones for conservation and restoration purposes, the Minerals Plan will need to accommodate <i>has accommodated</i> information from the new database England's Building Stone Pits (EBSPits). Once this is available, currently this is expected in April 2011. This database of the many building and roofing stones used in England will be <i>has been</i> established as part of the 'English Heritage BGS</p>

Change ID number	Policy/Para number	Reason for change	Suggested change
			Strategic Stone Study, which will seeks to help the identification of active and disused quarries that are of importance to historic buildings.
PMC/AGMA/39	Para 5.26	To reflect updated position following receipt of the English Heritage BGS Strategic Stone Study	Re-write first sentence as below. Notwithstanding the information resulting emerging from the Strategic Stone Study,
PMC/AGMA/40	Para 5.28	To reflect updated position following receipt of the English Heritage BGS Strategic Stone Study	Re-write first sentence as below. It is recognised that Information on stones used for historic buildings will be become known over the plan period has been established as part of the 'English Heritage BGS Strategic Stone Study and therefore the plan should, in using this information the plan should take-takes a flexible approach to the supply of building stone because of the ad hoc nature of demand and the variable nature of the resource.
PMC/AGMA/41	Para 7.22	To reflect updated position following receipt of the English Heritage BGS Strategic Stone Study	Delete 3 rd sentence as below. This information is expected to be available in April 2011
PMC/AGMA/42	Para 7.24	To reflect updated position following receipt of the English Heritage BGS Strategic Stone Study	Re write as below. English Heritage are undertaking have undertaken a study identifying quarries important for maintaining historic buildings in Greater Manchester, due for completion in April 2011 (the 'Strategic Stone Study').

Change ID number	Policy/Para number	Reason for change	Suggested change
PMC/AGMA/43	Map 1	To reflect updated position following receipt of the English Heritage BGS Strategic Stone Study (SSS)	<p>Add text to Map 1 Key to indicate the quarries with Planning permission which are identified through the SSS.</p> <p><i>The following quarries have been identified by the English Heritage BGS Strategic Stone Study (SSS) as being important for maintaining and repairing historic buildings.</i></p> <p><i>Buckton Vale Quarry</i> <i>Harwood Quarry</i> <i>Fletcher Bank Quarry</i> <i>Middle Hill Quarry</i> <i>Montcliffe Quarry</i></p>
PMC/AGMA/44	Policy 11	To reflect updated position following receipt of the English Heritage BGS Strategic Stone Study (SSS)	<p>Final two lines of Policy 11 to read: ‘...need for the non-mineral development in that location (see Map 1 for details of quarries important for maintaining historic buildings with planning permission which have been identified as important through the Strategic Stone Study.’</p>
PAMC/AGMA/1	Table 29, page 57	Error – quarries missed off list – During preliminary examination meeting someone highlighted inconsistency between table and later paragraph 1.4	The first row of the last column should include Morleys Hall in Wigan and Offerton Sand and Gravel in Stockport.
PAMC/AGMA/2	Table 29, page 58	Update	Replace ‘Current open cast extraction at Cutacre, Bolton although due to cease in 2011’ with; ‘there are currently no open cast extraction sites in Greater Manchester, the last site ceased extracting in 2011’.

Change ID number	Policy/Para number	Reason for change	Suggested change
PAMC/AGMA/4	Map 3- Coal Resource and Petroleum Exploration Development Licenses (PEDLs) in Greater Manchester	To correct a drafting error in relation to the numbering of the PEDLs identified on Map 3	Map 3 currently shows: Yellow boundary – PEDL 193 Blue boundary – PEDL 166 Map 3 will be amended to show: Yellow boundary – PEDL 166 Blue boundary – PEDL 193
PAMC/AGMA/6	New paragraph after 1.25 of Section ‘Background information on minerals and Area of Search Methodology’ (page 65)	For clarity following comments from the Mineral Products Association	Paragraph to read: Each of the ten Greater Manchester Authorities was consulted with regards to applying the Category 2 constraints. As a result the Areas of Search were amended and the supporting document entitled ‘Areas of Search Amendments’ provides details of such amendments. The supporting document has been produced and added to Document Library as ‘TD011’
PAMC/AGMA/7	Table 29 of Page 58	To correct an error noted by Mineral Planning Group	Currently worked at Pilkington, Montcliffe and Harwood Quarries Should have read: ‘Currently worked at Harwood, Montcliffe, Fletcher Bank and Buckton Vale’ However, this should again be updated along with paragraph 4.24 of the Plan following Committee decision to determine an extension of time at Montcliffe Quarry. Should also include Pilkington as has now been granted permission.

Change ID number	Policy/Para number	Reason for change	Suggested change
PAMC/AGMA/8	Paragraph 5.64 of Page 32	To ensure Soundness	Paragraph will be changed to read: ' The evidence base prepared The 2007 Panel Report for the North West Regional Spatial Strategy indicates that there are sufficient peat workings with planning permission to meet existing and future demand and no planning permissions need to be granted for peat workings'
PAMC/AGMA/9	Paragraph 5.19 on Page 24	For clarity	Last line be changed to read: ' unallocated sites proposals outside the Areas of Search may offer the best potential to meet this need'
PAMC/AGMA/10	Paragraph 5.21 on Page 24	For clarity	Changed to read: 'Proposals for Mineral development located outside the Areas of Search unallocated sites are expected to fit within the Minerals Plan Spatial Strategy. All allocations Areas of Search proposed during the preparation of the Minerals Plan were assessed against the Key Environmental and...'
PAMC/AGMA/11	Areas of Search Maps in Appendix 2	Requested by MPA and MPG	Create larger scale (District level) maps
PAMC/AGMA/12			The site contains adequate reserves resources of the mineral, in terms of quality and quantity for extraction to take place

Change ID number	Policy/Para number	Reason for change	Suggested change
	Policy 2 Paragraph 2 (page 23)	To correct an error noted by Mineral Planning Group	
PAMC/AGMA/13	Policy 4 Paragraph 2 (Page 28)	To correct an error noted by Mineral Planning Group	There are adequate reserves resources on site of the mineral in terms of quality and quantity for extraction to take place
PAMC/AGMA/14	Paragraph 5.55 (Page 31)	To correct an error noted by Mineral Planning Group	MPS 1 requires the Minerals Plan to identify the extent of the coalfield with reserves at depths below the surface of between 200 - 1500m and of areas which are licensed for coal bed methane extraction by the Department for Energy and Climate Change (DECC) under PEDL
PAMC/AGMA/15	Background information on minerals and Area of Search Methodology Paragraph 1.2 (Page 59)	To correct an error noted by Mineral Planning Group	The recommended landbank for sand and gravel is 7 years and a landbank below these levels suggests that additional reserves resources will need to be permitted if acceptable applications are submitted.
PAMC/AGMA/16	Background information on minerals and Area of Search Methodology Paragraph 1.7 (Page 60)	To correct an error noted by Mineral Planning Group	Although four 'calls for sites' were held as part of preparation of the Minerals Plan, no additional reserves resources were identified

Change ID number	Policy/Para number	Reason for change	Suggested change	
PAMC/AGMA/17	Background information on minerals and Area of Search Methodology Paragraph 1.18 (Page 63)	To correct an error noted by Mineral Planning Group	Category 2 constraints include those where the Mineral Planning Authority would normally prefer mineral working did <i>not</i> take place, particularly where it can be demonstrated that adequate reserves resources exist elsewhere	
PAMC/AGMA/18	Table 44 Glossary (Page 83)	Clarification to insert definition of reserve	Term	Definition
			Mineral Reserves	Mineral resources with planning permission for extraction
PAMC/AGMA/19	Table 44 Glossary (Page 83)	Clarification to insert definition of resource	Term	Definition
			Mineral Resources	Potentially valuable minerals for which reasonable prospects exist for eventual extraction.
PAMC/AGMA/20	Paragraph 5.38, Page 28	For clarification	First sentence to be changed as follows: '...on unallocated sites are expected to fit within the Minerals Plan Spatial Strategy. Information on the Spatial Strategy can be found in Section 4 of this Plan.	

Change ID number	Policy/Para number	Reason for change	Suggested change
PAMC/AGMA/21	Paragraph 4.44, Page 18	For clarification	The following to be added to the end of Paragraph 4.44: 'It is not necessary for a planning application for mineral working to satisfy all four elements of the Spatial Strategy set out below, instead the elements of the Spatial Strategy should be balanced against each other, therefore a proposal for the winning and working of mineral resources would be required to meet one or more of these elements.'
PAMC/AGMA/22	Contents page	To improve the Plan	The pages where Policies are located will be added to the Contents Page
PAMC/AGMA/23	Paragraph 5.10, page 22	Requested by Coal Authority	To insert tables 31 and 33 (from Appendix 1) after paragraph 5.10. Text within paragraph 5.10 will be amended to reflect the insertion of the tables, the intention to roll forward the annual apportionment figure to the end of the Plan period but that such figures will be reviewed in light of any new apportionments available for period beyond 2020.
PAMC/AGMA/46	Para 6.6	To support the changes to Policy 7. This text would be inserted below paragraph 6.5, above the section explaining the application of the minerals safeguarding area.	New paragraph 6.6 <i>Although MSA's exclude the Urban Areas, Policy 7 allows for Prior Extraction of Minerals to be considered outside the MSA's. This would enable all developments where there is the potential for prior extraction to be considered</i>

Change ID number	Policy/Para number	Reason for change	Suggested change
			<i>against the same criteria.</i>
PAMC/AGMA/47	Paragraphs 5.59 – 5.68	To update the Plan in respect of accurate references and policy set out within the NPPF and clarifies specific local issues in respect of Peat.	<p>The preamble text to Policy 6 will be updated to read as follows (including the modification set out within PAMC/AGMA/8):</p> <p>Peat has been used for the past forty years as a growing medium enhancer for horticulture and gardening. In recent years substitute products have been developed which are proving increasingly attractive to the amateur and commercial grower.</p> <p>Extraction of peat results in the loss of valuable habitat and the release of carbon dioxide into the atmosphere. It is a requirement of the EU Habitats Directive to protect good quality sites and restore degraded ones. This will enable peat to act as a carbon sink.</p> <p>Peat extraction is focused in the Salford and Wigan areas of Greater Manchester. In the recent past peat has been worked at three sites:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Little Woollen Moss with a planning permission running to 2042; • Chat Moss where permission expired in 2010 and permission has been sought to extend extraction to 2025; and • Astley Moss which is due for completion in 2015.

Change ID number	Policy/Para number	Reason for change	Suggested change
			<p>MPG 13 'Guidelines for Peat Provision in England' proposed a target of reducing peat use such that 40% of growing media and soil improvers would not contain peat by 2005. The latest 2009 data indicates that 58% has been achieved.</p> <p>More recently Defra published a 'Consultation on reducing the horticultural use of peat in England' in December 2010. This continued the policy trend of seeking to reduce peat use. It recognised both the important commercial role peat has to play within the horticultural industry and its environmental role as an important ecosystem for wildlife and carbon sink. With both these considerations in mind the consultation paper sought views on the elimination of peat from the amateur horticultural market by 2020 and the feasibility of phasing out peat from the commercial sector by 2030. It argued that no planning permissions be granted for new peat workings. <i>Following this consultation Defra set out plans for reduction in peat use and confirmed the 2030 ambition for zero peat use in the Natural Environment White Paper.</i></p> <p><i>The White Paper also announced the creation of a peat task force to explore how to overcome barriers to further reducing peat use in horticulture. This has broadened its remit to that of putting the horticultural sector on a long-term</i></p>

Change ID number	Policy/Para number	Reason for change	Suggested change
			<p><i>sustainable footing by ensuring that all of the growing media (or substrate) used in horticulture is sustainable. To reflect this change of emphasis, it is now operating under the working title of the Sustainable Growing Media Task Force.</i></p> <p>The 2007 Panel Report for the North West Regional Spatial Strategy indicates that there are sufficient peat workings with planning permission to meet existing and future demand and no planning permissions need be granted for new peat workings.</p> <p><i>The National Planning Policy Framework does not identify peat as a 'mineral resource of local and national importance' and requires that Local Planning Authorities do not identify new sites or extensions to existing sites for peat extraction.</i></p> <p>The main local issue relates to the need to restore areas of degraded lowland bog arising from previous peat working.</p> <p>There are two main local issues relating to peat resources in Greater Manchester:</p> <p><i>Firstly the need to restore areas of degraded lowland bog arising from previous peat working.</i> With this issue in mind the Greater Manchester Minerals Plan complements the developing <i>National Planning Policy Framework</i> and the strategic background both of which point to the</p>

Change ID number	Policy/Para number	Reason for change	Suggested change
			<p>need for no further planning permissions to be granted for new peat workings. The Plan therefore addresses the local issue of restoration.</p> <p><i>Secondly, there are areas of peat resources in Tameside and Trafford, which have either already been built upon, are the subject of valid planning permissions for non-minerals development or are the subject of future non-minerals development aspirations supported by the Local Planning Authority. These areas are not the focus of Policy 6 as future development at these locations is not considered as mineral working nor is it likely to impact upon peat resources which could be restored to lowland bog habitat as supported by the EU Habitats Directive.</i></p> <p><i>Peat is also considered by Policy 7 'Mineral Safeguarding Areas'. This Policy safeguards known peat deposits in Greater Manchester from sterilisation by non-minerals development as required by MPS1.</i></p> <p><i>In line with the requirements of the National Planning Policy Framework the Minerals Plan does not include a Mineral Safeguarding Area for peat resources.</i></p>
PAMC/AGMA/48	Paragraph 5.69	To update the Plan in respect of accurate references and policy set out within the NPPF.	The preamble text to Policy 6 will be updated to read as follows (including the modification set out within PAMC/AGMA/8):

Change ID number	Policy/Para number	Reason for change	Suggested change
			<p>To protect and enhance the environment and reflect the requirements of the EU Habitats Directive. In recognition of the adequacy of supply in the North West of England and to achieve the long term goal of stopping peat extraction <i>the requirements of the National Planning Policy Framework.</i></p>
PAMC/AGMA/49	Paragraph 7.28	<p>To update the Plan in respect of accurate references and policy set out within the NPPF and its Technical Guidance.</p>	<p>Local Planning Authorities must be satisfied prior to the granting of planning permission, that appropriate financial provision for restoration will be made by the applicant. Across the UK and indeed across Greater Manchester there have been historical problems with operators not completing the restoration of a site following minerals extraction. Whilst it is not appropriate for policies in development plans to require restoration bonds <i>applicants should demonstrate what the likely financial and material budgets for restoration, aftercare and afteruse will be, in accordance with national planning policy.</i></p> <p><i>There remains exceptional cases where Local Planning Authorities can reasonably require a financial guarantee to cover restoration (including aftercare) through a voluntary agreement or planning obligation at the time planning permission is granted. Examples of these exceptions are set out within the Technical Guidance of the National Planning</i></p>

Change ID number	Policy/Para number	Reason for change	Suggested change
			<p><i>Policy Framework. Information will be sought from applicants in relation to these exceptions as appropriate.</i></p> <p>in accordance with Minerals Planning Policy 7- Reclamation of Mineral Workings. Examples of how this can be managed are set out with Annex D of MPG7, and include opportunities for Local Planning Authorities to link restoration requirements or financial provision for their completion to landownership through developer contributions.</p>
PAMC/AGMA/50	Rear cover of Minerals Plan	To update Plan in respect of accurate branding and partner Authorities	Update Manchester City Logo to reflect latest version issued by the Council.